

IFW

11-7-05



Express Mail No. EV522672948US

Attorney Docket No. 030048080US1
Client Ref No. 02-1252A

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Atkey et al.

Application No.: 10/691,440

Confirmation No.: 8085

Filed: October 21, 2003

Art Unit: 3644

For: ELECTRIC-BASED SECONDARY POWER
SYSTEM ARCHITECTURES FOR
AIRCRAFT

Examiner: T.T. Nguyen

RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY MAILED OCTOBER 6, 2005

MS Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The undersigned attorney conducted a telephone conference with the Examiner on September 7, 2005 to discuss the distinctions between claim 10 and the art of record (specifically, the Holmes reference). At that time, the undersigned attorney and the Examiner agreed to the Examiner's Amendment of claim 10 as set forth in the Interview Summary mailed October 6, 2005. The undersigned attorney notes, however, that the amendment may not necessarily be required to overcome the prior art of record, and that the claims without the amendment may be patentable for other reasons.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-0665, under Order No. 030048080US1 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: Nov 4, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Stephen E. Arnett

Registration No.: 47,392

PERKINS COIE LLP

P.O. Box 1247

Seattle, Washington 98111-1247

(206) 359-8000

(206) 359-7198 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant